

# On the quantum theory of measurements continuous in time: information gain<sup>a</sup>

Camerino, October 2008 — Joint work with G. Lupieri

- **Quantum continuous measurements = Quantum trajectories**: a quantum system is taken under observation with continuity in time (the output is not a single random variable, but a stochastic process)
- **Aim**: to characterize the behaviour of the measurement, to quantify its effectiveness in extracting information from the quantum system by means of mutual entropies  
**Mutual entropy**: relative entropy of a (classical, quantum, or mixed) state on a product algebra (bipartite system) with respect to the product of its marginals
- **Key concept**: any quantum measurement is a “**quantum channel**”, a CP map from the quantum states (density operators) to classical/quantum states (probabilities for the output & post-measurement density operator)

---

<sup>a</sup>A. Barchielli: Politecnico di Milano & INFN, Sezione di Milano

## The linear SDE of continual measurement theory.

For simplicity: bounded operators, finite sums...

$\mathcal{H}$ : Hilbert space of the system

$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ : trace class

$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) = \{\text{statistical operators}\} \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$

Initial condition:  $\sigma_0 = \varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$

$$d\sigma_t = \mathcal{L}(t)[\sigma_t]dt + \sum_j (R_j(t)\sigma_t + \sigma_t R_j(t)^*) dW_j(t) \\ + \sum_k \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_k(t)[\sigma_t]}{\lambda_k} - \sigma_t \right) (dN_k(t) - \lambda_k dt)$$

$W_j, j = 1, \dots, N_k, k = 1, \dots$ : independent Wiener and Poisson processes in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_\infty^0, \mathbb{Q})$ .  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[N_k(t)] = \lambda_k t$ : intensity  $\lambda_k > 0$

The space of events from  $s$  to  $t$ : the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the increments

$$\mathcal{F}_t^s = \sigma\{W_j(u) - W_j(s), N_k(v) - N_k(s), u, v \in [s, t], j, k = 1, \dots\}$$

$\mathcal{L}(t)$ : Liouville operator

$\mathcal{J}_k(t)$ : jump operator

$$\mathcal{J}_k(t)[\rho] = \sum_r V_k^r(t)\rho V_k^r(t)^* \quad J_k(t) = \mathcal{J}_k(t)^*[\mathbb{1}] = \sum_r V_k^r(t)^* V_k^r(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(t)[\rho] = & -i[H(t), \rho] + \sum_l \left( L_l(t)\rho L_l(t)^* - \frac{1}{2} \{L_l(t)^* L_l(t), \rho\} \right) \\ & + \sum_j \left( R_j(t)\rho R_j(t)^* - \frac{1}{2} \{R_j(t)^* R_j(t), \rho\} \right) + \sum_k \left( \mathcal{J}_k(t)[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \{J_k(t), \rho\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$H(t)$ ,  $L_l(t)$ ,  $R_j(t)$ ,  $V_k^r(t)$  are bounded operators with  $H(t) = H(t)^*$  and with a *regular* dependence on time (norm-continuity from the left with limits from the right)

### Physical probabilities and a posteriori states

$$\sigma_0 = \varrho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_t(\omega) \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \text{Tr}\{\sigma_t(\omega)\} \mathbb{Q}(d\omega) = 1$$

$p_t := \text{Tr}\{\sigma_t\}$  is a martingale;  $\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega) = p_t(\omega)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega)|_{\mathcal{F}_t^0}$  is a family of consistent probabilities (**the physical probabilities**), i.e.  $F \in \mathcal{F}_t^0$ ,  $t \leq T \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_T[F] = \mathbb{P}_t[F]$ .

a posteriori states:  $\rho_t := \frac{1}{p_t} \sigma_t$       a priori states:  $\eta_t := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\sigma_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[\rho_t]$

$\rho_t$  satisfies a non-linear SDE (the **stochastic master equation**)  $\rho_0 = \eta_0 = \sigma_0 = \varrho$

In quantum information  $\sigma_t \equiv \{P_t, \rho_t\}$  is called **an ensemble** (of quantum states) **with average state  $\eta_t$**

$S_q(\rho_t || \eta_t) := \text{Tr}\{\rho_t(\ln \rho_t - \ln \eta_t)\}$ : a quantum relative entropy

$\sigma_t$  is a normal state on the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t^0, \mathbb{Q}; \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq L^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t^0, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  (a bipartite classical/quantum system)

The relative entropy of the ensemble  $\sigma_t$  with respect to the product of its marginals  $p_t$  and  $\eta_t$ :

$$S(\sigma_t || p_t \eta_t) := \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{Q}(d\omega) \text{Tr}\{\sigma_t(\omega)(\ln \sigma_t(\omega) - \ln p_t(\omega)\eta_t)\} \equiv \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[S_q(\rho_t || \eta_t)]$$

it is a mutual entropy of mixed classical/quantum type — Holevo's  $\chi$ -quantity of the ensemble  $\sigma_t$  — It is a measure of the effectiveness of the continual measurement in encoding information in the a posteriori states

**Instruments** and **channels**. General result: any instrument is equivalent to a channel from quantum states to classical/quantum states.

The propagator  $\Lambda_t^s$  of the linear SDE:  $\varrho \mapsto \sigma_t = \Lambda_t^0[\varrho]$   
 $\{u_j\}$  c.o.n.s. in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\Lambda_t^s[|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|] = |u_i\rangle\langle u_j| + \int_s^t \mathcal{L}(r) \circ \Lambda_r^s[|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|]dr +$   
 $+ \sum_j \int_s^t \left( R_j(r) \Lambda_r^s[|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|] + \Lambda_r^s[|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|] R_j(r)^* \right) dW_j(r)$   
 $+ \sum_k \int_s^t \left( \frac{\mathcal{J}_k(r)}{\lambda_k} - \mathbb{1} \right) \circ \Lambda_r^s[|u_i\rangle\langle u_j|] (dN_k(r) - \lambda_k dr)$

$\Lambda_t^s : \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t^s, \mathbb{Q}; \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}))$  is CP and normalized: it is a **channel**

For  $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t$ ,  $\Lambda_t^s \circ \Lambda_s^r = \Lambda_t^r$ ,  $\Lambda_t^s[\sigma_s] = \sigma_t$

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}_t^s$  define  $\mathcal{I}_t^s(F)$ :  $\forall \rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_t^s(F)[\rho] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} [1_F \Lambda_t^s[\rho]]$

$\mathcal{I}_t^s(\bullet)$  is an **instrument**, a normalized CP-map valued measure

$\mathcal{I}_t^s(\bullet)^*[\mathbb{1}]$  is a POV measure (a general observable in quantum mechanics)

Physical probabilities, output of the measurement; Girsanov theorem

Structure of the probability density  $p_t = \text{Tr}\{\sigma_t\}$ :

$$p_t = \exp \left\{ \sum_j \left[ \int_0^t m_j(s) dW_j(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t m_j(s)^2 ds \right] + \sum_k \left[ \int_0^t \ln \frac{\mu_k(s)}{\lambda_k} dN_k(s) + \int_0^t (\lambda_k - \mu_k(s)) ds \right] \right\}$$

A posteriori means :  $m_j(t) = \text{Tr} \{ (R_j(t) + R_j(t)^*) \rho_t \}$        $\mu_k(t) = \text{Tr} \{ J_k(t) \rho_t \}$

Output of the measurement: the processes  $W_j(t)$ ,  $N_k(t)$  under the physical probability

Under the physical probability  $\mathbb{P}_T(d\omega) = p_T(\omega) \mathbb{Q}(d\omega) |_{\mathcal{F}_T^0}$  the processes

$\widehat{W}_j(t) = W_j(t) - \int_0^t m_j(s) ds$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T$ ) are independent, standard Wiener processes and  $N_k(t)$  is a counting process of stochastic intensity  $\mu_k(t)dt$ .

A priori means: 
$$\begin{cases} n_j(t) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} [m_j(t)] = \text{Tr} \{ (R_j(t) + R_j(t)^*) \eta_t \} \\ \nu_k(t) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} [\mu_k(t)] = \text{Tr} \{ J_k(t) \eta_t \} \end{cases}$$

**Aim:** to introduce a **reference measure** with **density**  $q_t$  such that  $S_c(p_t||q_t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[\ln(p_t/q_t)]$  be a measure of the effectiveness of the continual measurement in extracting information on the underlying quantum system. **Candidate:**

$$q_t = \exp \left\{ \sum_j \left[ \int_0^t n_j(s) dW_j(s) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t n_j(s)^2 ds \right] + \sum_k \left[ \int_0^t \ln \frac{\nu_k(s)}{\lambda_k} dN_k(s) + \int_0^t (\lambda_k - \nu_k(s)) ds \right] \right\}$$

Under  $q_T(\omega)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega)$ , the processes  $W_j$ ,  $N_k$  have independent increments as under  $\mathbb{Q}$  (so, they can be interpreted as noises), but the means have been changed and made equal to the means they have under  $\mathbb{P}_T$ . Precisely, the processes  $W_j(t) - \int_0^t n_j(s) ds$  are independent, standard Wiener processes and  $N_k(t)$  is a Poisson process of time dependent intensity  $\nu_k(t)$ .

In some sense  $q_t(\omega)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega)$  is a continuous product of marginals of  $\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega) = p_t(\omega)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega)$  and the classical relative entropy  $S_c(p_t||q_t)$  can be considered as a mutual entropy.

Explicit computations of  $S_c(p_t \| q_t) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[\ln(p_t/q_t)]$ :

$$S_c(p_t \| q_t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \int_0^t \text{Var}_{\mathbb{P}_t}[m_j(s)] ds + \sum_k \int_0^t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} \left[ \mu_k(s) \ln \frac{\mu_k(s)}{\nu_k(s)} \right] ds$$

A bound on the rate of information which can be extracted

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( S_c(p_t \| q_t) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} [S_q(\rho_t \| \eta_t)] \right) \leq \frac{d}{dr} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_r} [S_q(\rho_r^t \| \eta_r)] \Big|_{r=t+}$$

$S_c(p_t \| q_t)$ : classical information on the measured quantum system

$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} [S_q(\rho_t \| \eta_t)] = S(\sigma_t \| p_t \eta_t)$ : information contained in the a posteriori states  
 $\rho_r^t := (\text{Tr} \{\sigma_r^t\})^{-1} \sigma_r^t$  is the a posteriori state of a continual measurement starting at time  $t$  from the state  $\eta_t$ .  $\sigma_r^t := \Lambda_r^t[\eta_t]$ . The r.h.s. of the bound is a measure of the ability of the continual measurement of starting a demixture of the a priori states  $\eta_t$ .

The classical quantity  $\frac{d}{dt} S_c(p_t \| q_t)$  is bounded by the quantum quantity

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_r} [S_q(\rho_r^t \| \eta_r)] \Big|_{r=t+} - \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_t} [S_q(\rho_t \| \eta_t)]$$



**Gain of information on the initial state:** the input/output classical information

Possible initial states:  $\rho_i(\alpha) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , with probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha)$ ; equivalently,  $(\rho_i(\alpha), \mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha))$  is the **initial ensemble**

average initial state:  $\eta_i = \int_A \mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha) \rho_i(\alpha)$

$$\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega|\alpha) = p_t(\omega|\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega) \quad p_t(\omega|\alpha) = \text{Tr} \{ \Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\rho_i(\alpha)] \}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega) = p_t(\omega)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega) \quad p_t(\omega) = \int_A p_t(\omega|\alpha)\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha) = \text{Tr} \{ \Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\eta_i] \}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha \times d\omega) = \mathbb{P}_t(d\omega|\alpha)\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha) = p_t(\omega|\alpha)\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha)\mathbb{Q}(d\omega)$$

$$\mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha|\omega) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega|\alpha)\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha)}{\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega)} = \frac{p_t(\omega|\alpha)}{p_t(\omega)} \mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha)$$

$$I(t) = \int_{A \times \Omega} \mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha \times d\omega) \ln \frac{\mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha \times d\omega)}{\mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha)\mathbb{P}_t(d\omega)} = \int_A \mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha) \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_t(d\omega|\alpha) \ln \frac{p_t(\omega|\alpha)}{p_t(\omega)}$$

$$\rho_t^\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\rho_i(\alpha)]}{\text{Tr} \{ \Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\rho_i(\alpha)] \}} \quad \text{a posteriori state starting from } \rho_i(\alpha)$$

$$\rho_t(\omega) = \frac{\Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\eta_i]}{\text{Tr} \{ \Lambda_t^0(\omega)[\eta_i] \}} \quad \text{a posteriori state starting from } \eta_i$$

$$m_j^\alpha(t, \omega) = \text{Tr} \{ (R_j(t) + R_j(t)^*) \rho_t^\alpha(\omega) \} \quad m_j(t, \omega) = \text{Tr} \{ (R_j(t) + R_j(t)^*) \rho_t(\omega) \}$$

$$\mu_k^\alpha(t, \omega) = \text{Tr} \{ J_k(t) \rho_t^\alpha(\omega) \} \quad \mu_k(t, \omega) = \text{Tr} \{ J_k(t) \rho_t(\omega) \}$$

$$I(t) = \int_{A \times \Omega} P_t(d\alpha \times d\omega) \int_0^t ds \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_j (m_j^\alpha(s, \omega) - m_j(s, \omega))^2 + \sum_k \mu_k^\alpha(s, \omega) \ln \frac{\mu_k^\alpha(s, \omega)}{\mu_k(s, \omega)} \right\}$$

**The bound** (Holevo, Yuen-Ozawa, Schumacher-Westmoreland-Wootters, Jacobs, Barchielli-Lupieri):

$$0 \leq I(t) \leq \chi(0) - \chi(t)$$

$$\chi(t) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_t(d\omega) \int_A \mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha|\omega) S_q(\rho_t^\alpha(\omega) \|\rho_t(\omega))$$

$\int_A \mathbb{P}_t(d\alpha|\omega) S_q(\rho_t^\alpha(\omega) \|\rho_t(\omega))$  is a random chi-quantity; then,  $\chi(t)$  is a mean chi-quantity

$$\Rightarrow \chi(0) = \int_A \mathbb{P}_i(d\alpha) S_q(\rho_i(\alpha) \|\eta_i) \quad (\text{Holevo's chi-quantity of the initial ensemble})$$

Proof of all the bounds: Instruments = channels & Uhlmann monotonicity theorem (channels decrease the relative entropies)

# References

- [1] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Information gain in quantum continual measurements*, in V. P. Belavkin and M. Guță, *Quantum Stochastic and Information* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008); arXiv:quant-ph/0612010v1.
- [2] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Entropic bounds and continual measurements*, in L. Accardi, W. Freudenberg, M. Schürmann (eds.), *Quantum Probability and Infinite Dimensional Analysis*, Quantum Probability Series QP-PQ Vol. 20 (World Scientific, Singapore, 2007) pp. 79–89; arXiv:quant-ph/0511090v1.
- [3] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Quantum measurements and entropic bounds on information transmission*, *Quantum Information and Computation* **6** (2006) 16–45; arXiv:quant-ph/0505090v1.
- [4] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Instruments and mutual entropies in quantum information*, in M. Bożejko, W. Młotkowski, J. Wysoczański (eds.), *Quantum Probability* (Banach Center Publications, Warszawa, 2006), pp. 65–80; arXiv:quant-ph/0412116v1.
- [5] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Instruments and channels in quantum information*

- theory*, *Optika i Spektroskopiya* **99** (2005) 443–450; *Optics and Spectroscopy* **99** (2005) 425–432; arXiv:quant-ph/0409019v1.
- [6] A. Barchielli, G. Lupieri, *Instrumental processes, entropies, information in quantum continual measurements*, in O. Hirota (ed.), *Quantum information, Statistics, Probability* (Rinton, Princeton, 2004) pp. 30–43; *Quantum Information and Computation* **4** (2004) 437–449; arXiv:quant-ph/0401114v1.
- [7] A. Barchielli, *Entropy and information gain in quantum continual measurements*, in P. Tombesi, O. Hirota (eds.), *Quantum Communication, Computing, and Measurement 3* (Kluwer, New York, 2001) pp. 49–57; arXiv:quant-ph/0012115v1.